神戸市外国語大学 学術情報リポジトリ

Fishing the Uplands: A Linguistic Perspective on the Ethno-Ichthyology of Northern Laos

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2021-09-08
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: BADENOCH, Nathan
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://kobe-cufs.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2581

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



CHAPTER 2

Fishing the Uplands: A Linguistic Perspective on the Ethno-Ichthyology of Northern Laos

Nathan BADENOCH

[ABSTRACT]

The Bit people of northern Laos sometimes refer to themselves as the People of the Fishing Hook. This is a curious autonym for an upland ethnic group in Southeast Asia, who are most commonly known as forest people, with complex indigenous knowledge systems and worldviews encoded in their diverse languages. As livelihoods become increasingly stressed as a result of socio-economic and ecological transformations, the importance of local languages and local knowledge is paramount for their survival. This paper explores the fishing knowledge and practices of the Bit people of northern Laos – a rural population of approximately 2,400 people speaking an Austroasiatic language - discussing the confluence of history, livelihoods, social relations and construction of identity that can be observed through their language, language ideologies and linguistic practices. The prominence of fish is striking in the social, natural and cosmological worlds of the Bit. The natural and social ecologies of upland river systems differ from those of the lowland areas, and have remained unstudied. This paper is an investigation of the entanglements between technology, community and ritual, with a particular interest in how these social institutions are encoded in the Bit language. As a broad ethnographic study, the paper explores: representations of Bit identity, which are tied up with fishing, fish-naming practices, gender marking in aquatic lifeforms, mapping of technology to community institutions, linguistic clues to riverine cultural contact, taboos in linguistic change and expressive language used to describe fish.

1. Ecological knowledge: Language, livelihoods and worldviews

With rapid changes in the socio-economic landscape, the ecological setting for fishing is changing for the communities of upland Laos such as the Bit. Fishing is an integral part of the Bit livelihood system. Bit fishing practices are based on deep and intimate knowledge of their river ecosystems, while the language of fishing reflects the worldview of the Bit. Because uplanders in Southeast Asia are generally known as forest people, recent ethnographic accounts of human relations with nature have tended to look at "the hunter's dilemma" as a window on the cosmologies of upland people in Laos (Århem 2016; Springer 2016), while a larger ontological discussion of the need to move beyond anthropomorphic models of the people and their forests has sparked a question about 'how forests think' (Cohen 2013). A rare internal view on local hunting and fishing practices is provided by Kam Raw (Tayanin and Lindell 2012). He gives rich insights into hunting and fishing in the Kammu (Khmu) world,

an exposition of first-hand knowledge from one who spent the early part of his life hunting and fishing in the uplands of Luang Namtha province; he provides insights on the ecology, taxonomy, technology, management and ritual of these activities. His stories include chants, songs and sayings in Khmu. These help us understand these activities from the inside out, including important perspectives on the language of hunting and fishing. The Dictionary of Kammu Yuan (Svantesson et al 2014), which documents Kam Raw's encyclopedic eco-cultural knowledge, contains vast resources on the human-nature interactions of his people.

Knowledge of fish and aquatic ecosystems underpin the livelihood practices of many local communities in Laos. Indigenous or traditional knowledge of fish is also an integral part of these communities' cosmologies, particularly in the lowland societies that live so closely with the diverse hydrological systems of the areas. Ontological interfaces between local knowledge and Western scientific knowledge (Baird and Manorom 2019) are not always smooth or comfortable, but do offer critical and diverse perspectives on how human-environment interactions can be conceptualized. Indigenous knowledge is a broad framework, and there are many angles that can be taken in investigating how language, culture and ways of knowing map to ecological systems. In Laos, study of fishing culture has focused on the ethnic Lao living along the Mekong and its tributaries. These studies have looked at ecology, as well as local knowledge and practice, providing a useful framework for understanding the diverse human-river interactions in Laos (see for example, Roberts and Baird 1995).

In this paper, fish, aquatic ecosystems, fishing practices and technologies, ritual practices and other cultural institutions of the Bit people of upland northern Laos are considered. The analysis tries to offer two novel perspectives: that of uplanders as fishers, and that of language as a window on fishing culture. The Bit village in which this research was conducted is located in northern Luang Namtha province, not far from Kam Raw's village. While this paper is just a first step in the study of upland fishing among the Bit, it draws inspiration from the intertwined investigation of technology, community and ritual, with a particular interest on how these social institutions are encoded in the Bit language. As a broad ethnographic study, the paper explores

- representations of Bit identity, which are curiously tied up with fishing
- naming practices for fish
- gender marking in aquatic lifeforms
- mapping of technology to community institutions
- linguistic clues to riverine cultural contact
- taboos in linguistic change
- expressive language used to describe fish.

2. Fish and People: Beyond Livelihoods

The ethnic group that speaks the Bit language* in Luang Namtha, northwestern Laos, is happy to use the name Lao Bit, the one officially mandated by the government. Yet, they have other names for themselves that they use within the community, including the simple **psiin ?ii** 'we people' and **kbet**, a name that is now deemed to be pejorative because it includes the Tai word kha – they were formerly known as Khabet – which denotes slavery, or at least subservience, to the more powerful local Tai lords (See Badenoch and Tomita (2013) and Badenoch (2019a) for more on local ethnic relations in the history of Luang Namtha).

Another name they use for themselves is **tnrəəy** 'fishing hook', or more elaborately **tnrəəy jppŋ kəək** 'bent iron fish hook'. These are evasive terms, and classic examples of Bit language play. Although the etymology of **kbet** is not clear, bet is a Tai word that means 'fishing hook'. The punned calque of the Tai bet and Bit **tnrəəy** reflects multilingual ideologies of language in which tabooed or evasive language is a survival strategy that draws upon a sense of cultural aesthetics. Play is a key element of Bit linguistic culture that has played an important role in deepening the lexicon of the language, creating layers of meaning, ambiguity and entertainment.

The evasive name is not simply a play on words, but is tied intricately into the diverse social mosaics in which the Bit live. Their position with the more numerous and powerful Tai groups is a key reference point. This excerpt from a traditional singing session was recorded during an annual ritual. The short text captures the sense of self, community and interethnic relations encoded in this playful term.

yia thraay ?ii dεε 'We Fishho no? boon dai ?εε ?ii den dee 'Think of e cap ba khdɛŋ ?εε ?ii maan dee yo? 'If we mee each other'

?ii bah kmlooc la? meen laaw ?ii bah te? sawhaaw la? dee meen lii yo? tnrəəy ?ii ?əə 'We Fishhook People'

'Think of each other, wherever we live'
'If we meet on the road, let us greet

'We won't tell lies that we are Lao'

'We won't pretend like we are Lue'

'We Fishhook People.'

Declaring that they will not try to pass themselves off as Tai (Lao or Lue) speaks to the long history of cultural contact and Bit proficiency in Tai languages. Yet, they will hide their real identity with a translingual pun on the name by which Others know them. Bit articulations of cultural intimacy (Herzfeld 2004) show how the role of language plays out in the complex balance of external discomfort and internal coherence that characterizes interethnic relations. It is worth pointing out that the concept of cultural intimacy is closely linked to poetics, a connection that should be taken quite literally in the case of the Bit. It is ironic that they use fishing as the main motif while they conceal that identity, because while the Bit and other Austroasiatic groups in Laos are viewed simple forest people, the Tai groups are well known for their elaborate and productive valley-bottom fishing. In courting songs, Bit men

^{*} Bit words are given in phonemic notation; note that /-s/ is [-jh].

often lament how their poverty, usually associated with agriculture, means they do not have anything to impress a woman and her parents with. In one such song, the performer Pheng recounts how the life of farming the mountain sides is difficult, but spending time together by the river is pleasant.

wa? po? paa cok kjaar dee
'If I go looking for crabs with you'
'Please don't leave me behind'
'Yeer paa ?uuy bah pla? yoo yo?
'If I go looking for fish with you'
'If I go looking for fish with you'
'Please don't abandon me.'

and if destiny does not allow it in this life, perhaps in the next

taa yoo ?aac paa ŋat 'If I die'
yoo kiət mləək co? rləəy no? po? 'I will be reborn as a *mləək* and swim
paa together with you'
yoo kiet syaa co? rləəy no? po? paa 'I will be reborn as a *syaa* and swim
together with you'
dee ?uuy siəm yat ?əəəy 'Oh, my beautiful.'

The fish imagery – catching crabs and fish together, being reborn as **mləək** or **syaa** (both small, common fish found in the rivers that Bit fish) to swim together – in performances like this is common, and underscores the important position of fish and river systems in Bit culture.

The Bit village of Bomphiang is one of two villages in Luang Namtha province, located along the Tung River, known in Bit as **nmtruun** (from compound and reduction of the borrowed Tai word nam 'river' and truun, which is probably the original name of the river in the Khmu language). The Tung River flows into the Tha River south of the village, and is fed by a number of mountain tributaries, many of which are seasonal. According to Bit narrative they left Black River valley during the times of revolt and disorder in the second half of the 19th century, arriving in Luang Namtha in the late 1890s (Badenoch 2019a). In post-harvest ritual, the Bit will 'call the rice spirit and river spirit' **kr?iə srmaal spop srmaal rop**. Families entice the rice spirits to bring fish back to their houses:

m?uə nmtaa syaa nmtεε fish (genl) Namtha fish (sp) Black River

The general word for fish is **m?u**, while **syaa** (*Acrossocheilus xamensis*) is a type of ray-finned fish known as *paa caat* in Lao, reported as being endemic to the Xam River in Huaphan province of Laos, but occurring in Northern Laos and Yunnan as well (Kottelat 2011).¹

¹ The significance of this fish may be deeper than this even. On the Nakai plateau, during the mast, the Brou say the *paa caat* eat the flowers of the bamboo (the one that only blooms

Fish are very important to Bit livelihoods, which today consist of upland and irrigated agriculture and rubber cropping, and supplemented by hunting, gathering forest products and fishing. Fishing does not contribute significantly to the cash income of the village, but it is an important source of protein. A quick trip to the village would not give the impression that the Bit are dependent upon aquatic ecosystems, but a more leisurely stay with households reveals the socio-economic importance of fisheries resources. It is increasingly difficult to hunt, with forest regulations, deteriorating forests and decreasing wildlife, making fishing all the more important. But the importance of fishing has a longer history than the recent environmental crisis. In the story of Nang Nok Kuak, a destitute man inherits only a throw net from his dying father, starting his life as an orphan. The net is at once a symbol of their poverty and an embodiment of his hopes for future prosperity.

The Bit use a range of fishing traps and nets, and employ a number of methods for damming and diverting rivers to catch fish. These fishing methods provide for the people, but recognize the seasonal variation and reproductive patterns of fish, ensuring that they are not depleted. As discussed below, fishing gear also indicates how social relations are recreated through fishing activities. Considered all together, these components of livelihood contribute to the collective expression of identity for these upland people.

3. Naming fish: Multilingual strategies

Fish culture is an integral part of oral tradition, and many aspects of this culture, as well as history, is encoded in the language. In the Bit language, a member of the Austroasiatic family, the general word for 'fish' **m?uə** is an innovation from a historical perspective, probably a product of lexical change through tabooing. The old Austroasiatic word for fish *ka? survives in just a few species names.

The naming of fish is problematic in the field of taxonomy. The interlinkages between scientific, common and folk naming systems are not understood, and the complex systems that underpin folk naming systems are not fully appreciated by those who study fish (Jernudd and Thuan 2008). The complexity of local fish-naming practices stresses a problem that is not only crucial to ichthyology, but at the same time is important for the study of language itself, as stressed by Jernudd and Thuan (2008):

It is an empirical fact that there are well-motivated local (or otherwise founded) fish-name systems; by geographic region, between competing groups of fishermen, by ethnic group, by language, by genre, by situation of speaking, that is to say, according to parameters of sociolinguistic description of speech variation (238).

Because of the difficulty in eliciting and identifying fish (problems that severely constrain the current work as well), knowledge of local fish naming practices in

every 10 years during the mast), and metamorphosize into the *nou khwii* rats that devour the forest in great swaths (Jim Chamberlain, pers. com.)

Southeast Asia remains low outside of the large fishing communities and national languages of the Mekong. Recent work on fauna names, following in the tradition of Chamberlain (1975) has produced deep historical insights from comparative work on animal names (Chamberlain 2018; Chamberlain 2019a; Chamberlain 2019; Chamberlain this volume), valuable descriptive elaboration (Hayashi 2019; Kurabe, this volume) and the ethnopoetics of naming systems (Badenoch 2019b).

In the process of documenting the Bit language, from 2011 to the present, I found a large number of Bit names for fish and other aquatic life, and was struck by the many distinct names and lack of a common element meaning 'fish' in the names, as might be expected in the region. A total of 51 fish names, as well as other aquatic life, were recorded (and many eaten) with villagers at Ban Bomphiang, Luang Namtha. This was done by participating in small-scale fishing activities, conducting individual and group interviews, identification (from Kottelat 2001) and general discussion in the village about livelihoods. Basic fish were identified during fishing trips, according to what was caught. Scientific names given are those corresponding to photos used to identify them, in group discussions with knowledgeable individuals. Samples were not collected for identification, and villagers did not always know the Lao names, which in any case can vary by region, so there are significant gaps in the data. The information is analyzed as linguistic data, and not presented as an ecological or biological survey.

In the Bit language, there are three patterns of fish naming. The first are names composed of native Bit words and compounds. The second type of fish name uses the Austroasiatic morpheme kaa 'fish', followed by a descriptive modifier of Bit etymology. These fish names are the fewest of the three types. There is also some semantic overflow into other types of fauna. The third type is names borrowed from a local Tai language² such as Lao, or perhaps Tai Dam/Daeng or Lue, corresponding directly to the fish of that name. In a few cases, Bit morphology or lexical items are used to further modify the borrowed Lao name.

3.1 Fish with Bit names

This is the largest group of fish names. The size of this list demonstrates the importance of fishing to the Bit. They have been living in the area outside of Luang Namtha since the early 1890s, fishing in small and mid-size mountain streams as a key element of their livelihood strategies at the watershed divide between the Ou and Black River valleys. With thirty-one varieties identified in this category of what could be postulated to be 'old' names, it is likely that the Bit have been living in a similar aquatic-ecosystem for a very long time. It should be noted that the general term for fish m?uə is never used as a life form element with an etymological fish name, as is the case with Tai languages' use of FISH *plaa (Chamberlain 1977), nor does it combine with a descriptive element.

² I refer to Tai languages in this paper, because the Bit have been in contact with Tai Dam, Tai Khao, Lue and Lao for centuries. It is not always possible to identify the specific origin, although many Tai borrowings may show old characteristics.

Table 1: Fish with native Bit names

Bit name	Lao name	scientific name	
slaan	paa laat	Anguilaa marmorata	
ree reŋ			
kaan ree reŋ		Abbottina rivularis	kvvn 'child'
ree ren diip trbooc		Crossocheilus atrilemes	diip trbooc 'pointed mouth
syaa	paa caat	Accrossocheilus xamensis	shark minnow
syaa rook		Balantiocheilus melanopterus	rook 'toad'
tliiŋ		Bangana elegans	
tliin ?ooc		Garra caudofasciata	?ooc 'red'
rmec		Albulichthys albuloides	
dal		Bangana elegans	
twar	paa loo	Garra cyclostomata	
koom		Hemibarbus labeo	
srleeŋ srleeŋ rɔʔ	paa siw	Opsarius pulchellus	
srleeŋ tooŋ			
trliiy	paa phan		alternative
trliiy jeek	paa buu	Acanthopsoides gracilentus	pronunciation trlii
trl ii y waak		Pangio fusca	
			waak 'earthworm'
trl ii y luul			luul 'stupid' in
			this case large and
trliiy bus			awkward
			bus 'dirt' because
			lives near sandy
			beach areas and
			makes hole in the
			sand
			type of small
1.1			albino fish
bloon		Channa gachua	loach
clar			identity of fish is
			not currently
			known, the fish is
			a ritual taboo
mləək	naa khaam		group
	paa kheem paa kot	Clarias batrachus	sntoor alternative
sŋtoor	раа коі	Clarias fuscus	pronunciation
		Ciurius juscus	pronunciation

			sŋ- morphology on toor 'ear'?
crleem ?uəy Jaa ?aap		Rhinogobius milleri	
cldəə poŋ ?ok ?aak	mεεη khaa	Monotrete turgidus	mistakenly
TOR TOUR	liəŋ Khaa		classified, should be kaa?
cr?aap luəŋ		Balitora kwangiensis	cr?aap is expressive form, describing open mouth that provides suction, luəŋ 'rock'
?aaŋ ?uul		Pseudomystus bomboides Akysis inermis	J
Jaar saa		Glyptothorax lampris	
cldəəp bas			'bottom-rice steamer', clings to the bottom of rocks
sntaa sŋaa			'tail-rice', small fish, sticks to rocks
baaŋ yaak			eats raw meat
srweer buu			type of small fish,
			found in the past,
			now disappeared
			from small
			streams

Within the 35 native Bit names, there are two groups: those with simplex etymological terms, and those with descriptive names. The latter group, including cldəəp bas ('bottom-rice steamer'), cr?aap luəŋ ('mouth open-rock'), sntaa sŋaa (tail-rice) and others are not referred to as kaa, even though their names typologically resemble descriptive elements. It is possible that at some point in the past they were, but there is no evidence of this in current Bit usage. Some of these names cannot be glossed as distinct lexical words, for example srlɛɛŋ has two distinct varieties srlɛɛŋ rɔʔ and srlɛɛŋ tɔɔŋ, but informants could not provide a meaning for the second elements, suggesting that they might be archaic or borrowed words.

3.2 Fish with kaa element

Six fish names with the Austroasiatic kaa element were collected. kaa is a very old word, reconstructed as proto-MK *ka?³, and known as the general word for fish across most of the Austroasiatic family. The word, as a distinct lexeme, is not present in the Bit language, but it does remain as a bound morpheme in the names of some fishes (and insects). The meanings of modifying element that follows kaa in these names are not clear, with the exception of ?>>c 'red'. Nonetheless, the descriptive elements seem to be native Bit morphemes.

Table 2: Fish names with /kaa/

A very limited number of fish have names that are Bit, and resemble structurally the common Tai construction (see below).

Bit name	Lao	scientific name	
	name		
kaa ?၁၁c		Hypsibarbus pierrei	'fish-red'
kaan kaa ?ooc			'child-fish-red'
kaa tɨŋ			offspring of ?ok ?aak
kaa daaŋ	pa khem		long, pointed mouth

In these names, kaa functions as the life form term, followed by the name element. **kaa ?ooc** is larger than the others in this group, and used as a source of food. Aside from ?ooc 'red', it is not possible to parse these names. It is interesting to note that kaa is also used for several insect names. kaa tin is the offspring of ?ok ?ook, which is classified as meen 'insect' in Lao. Other insects with kaa include kaa koo 'flashing firefly', and kaa doon 'type of biting ant (large and small varieties)' and kaa ruon 'type of small lizard (L: cipoom)' *Calotes*. A working hypothesis is that this group of fish is somehow less intimate to the Bit, perhaps in that they are generally small suckers and not so important as sources of food.

3.3. Fish with Lao paa element in name

The common template for fish names in Tai languages involves the life form term FISH; {paa+name+(descriptor)}. As seen above, in the Bit case, the optional descriptor is not usually found. Fish names listed below are borrowed from Tai languages. Approximately one quarter of the fish known by the Bit fall into this category.

³ Austroasiatic reconstructions from Shorto, H. (et al.) (2006) *A Mon-Khmer Comparative Dictionary*. Canberra: Australian National University.

Table 3: Fish names with Tai paa

Bit name	Lao name	scientific name	
paa siw	paa siw	Lycothrissa crocodilus	type of small carp
paa naam ?iə		Barbonymus gonionotus	?iə KhB, meaning
paa naam ?ar			unclear
			?ar KhB 'striped'
paa paak		Barbonymus gonionotus	type of small carp
раа кεєт		Cirrhinus jullieni	
paa teep		Danio laoensis	
paa khom		Hampala dispar	khom T 'bitter'
paa ?ŋyaak		Macrochirichthys	ŋ morphology
		macrochirus	denoting male, familiar
paa seer		Parachela williaminae	seer KhB 'monkey'
			(type of monkey)
paa kot		Hemibagrus pluriradiatus	small type of catfish
paa nin		Priostlepis fasciata	tilapia

There are three variations within this category:

Name	Gloss
paa naam ʔiə/ʔar	Bit element modifies main descriptive element
paa ?ŋyaak	Bit morphology modifies Lao descriptive element
paa seer	Bit word used as main modifying element

In principle borrowed names are borrowed in full form, with some additional modification made through Bit morphology and lexicon. The working hypothesis is that this group of fish was not part of the aquatic ecosystems of the Bit in the past, and thus new additions to the language as a result of a change in ecological setting for livelihoods. In discussing the fish they frequently catch, informants explained that **paa khom** is very similar in appearance to **kaa ?>>>c**, with the slight difference that the latter has a more pointed mouth. This demonstrates the sophistication of the bilingual naming practices that have been employed during the history of migration.

Thus, native Bit fish names do not take a general FISH word, aside from the very few kaa forms, and fish that incorporate the Tai paa element. This is noteworthy in the Bit context because snakes and birds are mostly compounds that include mar 'snake' and ceem 'bird'. Some birds do have etymological names, and recently there is influence from Lao, which uses the life form word together with BIRD, SNAKE and FISH (Chamberlain 1977). As will be discussed further below, fish and snakes are considered to be masculine, while birds are by default feminine, together with insects and the rest of the animate world.

3.4 Other aquatic life

Bit fishing trips inevitably involve collecting other aquatic life. In addition to the fish above, the following are commonly known. The practice of a single etymon name holds reasonably well.

Name	Gloss
kjaar	'crab'
kjaar duur	'soft crab'
kooc	'shrimp'
kenn	'turtle'
puluu	'big-head turtle'
kpaa	'softshell turtle'
klool	'snail, shellfish'
duk	'tadpole'
lo?la?	'small shellfish'
Jiən	'eel'

Other aquatic invertebrates have compounds with insect names, putting them in a different category of life.

Name	Gloss	
cee kooc	'aquatic insect, round,	kooc 'shrimp
	white/pink found under	
	rocks on riverbank'	
cee cŋas	'aquatic insect, looks	cŋas 'dragon'
	like scorpion	
cee ckar	'water insect sp., long	
	red body, tentacles and	
	forked tail'	
sante? roo	'water cockroach'	edible
-		

The common cee element means 'flea' but has a taken on this broader sense, somewhat puzzling given that flea does not normally have a water association.

Bit fish names can be summarized as below.

scheme	form	semantics
native Bit	monomorphemic	etymological
	dimorphemic	descriptive/metaphorical
kaa life form	dimorphemic	Bit descriptors
paa life form	dimorphemic	Bit descriptors possible

The variety of naming strategies employs etymological and descriptive sources, and borrowing from Tai for names of fish that are not well-known to the upland fishers.

4. The fishing landscape: Linguistic marking of eco-cultural zones

Hydrological landscapes provide a critical context for understanding human-nature relations. The associated linguistic material provides an important insight on the communities that inhabit them (Burenhult 2008). The preferred fishing landscapes of the Bit are in the upstream areas, where streams are the primary fishing grounds. In Bit, **rvv** 'river' and **pv?** 'stream' form the core of river terminology. In referring to upland streams they fish, also refer to **ruəy rvv**, which have more complex physical morphology than **pv?**, which are smaller and more shallow, with less places for fish and other aquatic life to reside in and move through. The following terminology describes the upland riverine areas where the Bit fish⁴.

Bit	Gloss	
ruəy roo	'small stream'	< T huəy preserving historical *r initial
roo yaa	'seasonal stream'	
Pp?	'small upland stream'	
roon	'larger stream'	< T hoon but preserving historical *r initial; pAA *ruon 'channel, river'
clon	'deep pool'	c.f. pMK733 *klon 'perennial water'
clon swiin	'deep pool with strong swirling current'	snwen 'deepest area of clon' < from expressive 'dark' because cannot see the bottom
mroon	'long channel in a river'	water flows slowly, water is not deep, but popular for fishing
dɨh roo	'riverbank'	upper area, away from water, dmdih rpp 'banks of a large river'
dɨh siiŋ	'riverbank'	lower area along the water sica? dih rpp 'sandy bank'
krloo	'gulley; small stream'	cannot fish
?vvm lih tlaar	'waterfall'	
luəŋ tlaar	'flat, broad rock'	important area for fishing trips
kmpah roo	'riverbank'	close to water
lmbi?	'waves'	
luəŋ cmŋuul	'round boulder in mid-stream'	
luəŋ tap ŋuul	'tall rock protruding from	
	water'	
cmpeer rop	'edge of the	important social area for sorting
	river/stream'	catch, meals, bathing and rituals

⁴ Reconstructions are from Shorto (et al.) (2006).

Lowland landscapes incorporate a greater number of borrowed Tai words, reflecting a different ecocultural area of life and activity within the larger social landscape.

kntaa	'landing'	landing, bathing area
		< T thaa 'landing'
p ii n rop	'river bed'	< T piin 'bottom, floor'
boon tncu?	'convergence of	tncu? 'to flow together' < T cu? 'to
	stream and larger	meet'
	river'	
clon haam	'restricted	part of modern resource management
	breeding area'	institutions; < T haam 'to prohibit'
tapaŋ	'riverbank'	upper area, higher than water
kεεŋ	'rapids'	< T
doon spry	'sandy island'	< T
haat	'sandy area on	< T
	riverbank'	

In his examination of hydrological vocabulary of the Jahai in Malaysia (also Austroasiatic speakers), Burenhult (2008) illustrates how loanwords play an important role in filling gaps in the hydrological landscape. In the Jahai case, several basic terms that would be familiar and salient to the Jahai, are borrowings from Malay; but these areas are not important to the drainage system, nor are they predictable in terms of location, and thus there are no native terms for them. In the Bit case, borrowed terminology helps delineate the eco-cultural boundaries of the Bit and Tai worlds, where the Bit are associated with a landscape of upstream streams and the Tai inhabit the lowland river areas.

This distinction is best understood within the terminology of the upstream-downstream axis; svo duul 'upstream' and svo dəəm 'downstream'. As shown above, river-related words in upland landscapes are for the most part native Bit words, or old borrowings, as evidence by archaic sounds, while the downstream areas feature more Tai borrowings. The downstream areas, with larger rivers that have different social functions associated with boat culture, are the world of Tai groups. The terms duul and dəəm are used more broadly as well to denote elevation. This distinction can have both physical and social connotations. For example svo duul can refer to the upper area of the house, where the elders live and the alter to the ancestors is placed. Similarly, boh duul and boh dəəm refer to the upper and lower settlements of a village, where the lower village is usually formed by a group that splinters from the main settlement.

Movement along this axis is differentiated by verbs ləəŋ 'to go upstream, to ascend', and ləəŋ 'to go downstream on boat or raft. Bit has two boat terms: riə 'boat' and pεε 'raft', both borrowed from Tai. It is likely that boats were not relevant for Bit river culture, as traditional fishing practices involve going on foot in the upstream direction. Another culturally aspect of movement on a river is rləəy 'to float downstream'. Floating down a river often connotes taking a long trip outside of

the familiar area of forest and settlement, or becoming lost or being sent out from the community for a transgression. In its causative form $\mathbf{rmləəy}$ 'to float something down a river', the word is used in ritual contexts where bad luck is expelled from the settlement by way of floating a raft down the river. In Bit oral literature, orphans or children born under a bad omen are often floated down the river, to be rescued by an elderly couple, Indra or another river spirit known as \mathbf{cnas} (Lao \mathbf{phanaa} $\mathbf{naak} < \mathbf{Skt}$ $\mathbf{n\bar{a}ga}$). There is often specific distinction made between traveling \mathbf{dih} \mathbf{siin} 'along the banks' or \mathbf{dih} \mathbf{rvv} 'along the water'. The common implication is that a fisher will encounter people along the banks and various wildlife or people in distress along the water. The upstream areas are the familiar realm of Bit culture, while downstream is the unfamiliar world of the Tai, represented by their refusal to present themselves falsely as Lao and Lue in the song above.

The downstream world infringes upon Bit fishing riverscapes as well. It is now necessary to manage the **clon** that are located near their village, in a way that agrees with state resource management regimes. These deep pools exist both in smaller upland streams, as well is larger downstream rivers; but he larger fish are available in the pools on the larger rivers. Understanding well the role of the **clon** in fish ecology as a spawning ground, in addition to productive fishing area, this term has been integrated into modern natural resource management concepts as **clon haam** 'protected breeding area.' This term, a bilingual calque from Lao, signals not only the intrusion of the state on local resource management regimes, but also the reality of competition for fish in the rapidly transforming market economy. Management of the **clon haam** is done through community institutions with relatively high effectiveness, but conflict between villages of different ethnicity can create problems that must be referred to local government authorities.

Fishing, as part of the Bit socio-economic landscape, is an upstream-oriented activity. Downstream movement tends to bring people into more contact and competition with other fishers. Nonetheless, the Bit do make use of full watershed areas. Fishing, like hunting, is not only an economic activity. People men make fishing trips to upstream areas in small, intimate groups, where they observe wildlife and forest conditions and enjoy good times away from the village. Downstream trips may signify a different sort of adventure, including trading, government services and more urban interactions.

5. Fishing gear: Technology, ecology and community

With mounting pressures on local ecosystems that are increasingly regulated by environmental protection and stretched by intensifying competition, hunting and fishing in many areas of northern Laos are kept low-key (Evrard 2012). Fishing expeditions, to destinations near and far, are still an important part of life. Bit fishing gear has much in common with that used by other groups, including those that conduct larger-scale fishing operations in lowland areas, but some adjustments have been made to accommodate the characteristics of upland river and stream environments.

The Bit use a number of fishing gear and technologies, each of which has

implications for the scale of the fishing operation and people involved in it. The social meaning of each technology is evident in the members that participate and their activities. Bit fishing equipment consists of the following:

Type	Description		Verb
tnrəəy	hook and line		te?
tnrəəy nook noo	pull line	nook 'to jerk up' noo 'to lift up'	te?
tnrəəy swat	cast line	swat 'to use something long to hit'	te?
tnrəəy mpp ?pp yuu	hook and line for deep water		te?
tnrəəy dak	hook and line, pole inserted into the sandy bank, with trigger mechanism		te?
tnrəəy crmii / tnrəəy kaw məəŋ	long line with multiple hooks	crmii 'thread, rope'	te?
rip	throw net		tweer
cm?oon	scoop net		croh
cm?oon saam liəm	triangular scoop net	saam liəm 'triangle'	croh
cm?oon sii liəm	square scoop net	sii liəm 'square'	croh
cm?con klom	round scoop net	klom 'round'	croh
moon	gill net		kweet
ccb	long, cylindrical fish trap		sai
doo caar	long trap with spikes	car 'spike'	sai
doo jiən	eel trap	Jiən 'eel'	sai
doo yaaw	trap with bait	yaaw 'long'	sai
doo tum	cage trap with spring mechanism	tum 'cage'	sai
gaad eep	woven trap, placed in rapids	pppn 'to set a fish trap'	
kutkuu	small scoop basket		croh
Jaaw	fishing spear, three pronged		kntih
meet	bamboo dip net		te?
dɨm	'fish trap with spring mechanism'		
dim khiin			sai
dim loon			sai
dɨm mʔuə	trap for large fish		sai
dɨm kdiiŋ	large trap		sai
J	<u> </u>		

Fishing with this gear is usually done as individual or small, intimate groups of close kin. Children, often cousins, go in groups to do both day and night fishing, contributing important protein to the household diet, increasing their knowledge of the local ecosystems and strengthening livelihood skills. Adult friends may go fishing together as well, but there is a sense that fishing is a household activity, perhaps because it is difficult to divide a catch of small fish and other river life. The 'private' aspects of fishing are reflected in the Bit proverb⁵ that teaches people not to be nosey and be too interested in other people's business:

nii	dɨm	bah	cmoo,	nii	doo	bah	cleer
to.see	fish	NEG	to.look.closely	to.see	fish	NEG	peer.in
	trap				trap		

^{&#}x27;If you see someone's long trap, don't look closely at it; if you see someone's cage trap, don't open it up to look inside.'

This includes a warning against stealing fish, but it also shows the importance of fish for family diet and recognizes the competition for fish within a community. Unlike hunting in older times, fishing is limited to a specific area – rivers or streams – and with seasonal changes within aquatic ecosystems, resources can get strained.

People are known to travel some distance to a preferred fishing site, but the stream pools near the village are most intensively fished. The multiple methods of hook-and-line fishing are commonly seen on larger streams and rivers. Women often use scoop nets in areas near the village, while men may prefer to travel to more distant fishing sites to use throw nets. The throw nets are smaller than those used in lowland areas, because the streams in which they are used are much smaller, as shown in the picture. Cognates of the word **rip** 'throw net' are found in both Khmuic (Khmu rəp) and Palaungic (proto-Palaungic *ruup) languages, suggesting that this type of gear has some historical depth.

Bit also use several methods of water diversion or obstruction to collect fish. These activities are usually done in larger groups, with some degree of consensus in the community.

cdəl	'small rock dam made in shallow water'
troh	'river diversion'
claa	'dam with trap built into a gap in the dam'
cook	'small stream dam, cut off stream and collect as water evaporates'
tntre?	'woven structure to partially dam a river, fish collected on other side'

⁵ This poetic structure is employed frequently in Bit proverbs, two constructions of verbnoun-NEG-verb. In this parallel construction, the rhyme of the last word in the first phrase is shared with the rhyme of the second word in the second construction. See Badenoch (2019a) on the poetics of Bit elaborate phrases.

River diversions for fishing have their own specific evasive term **pppn**.

Bit also have knowledge of trees that can be used for poisoning fish, although this manner of fishing is not used frequently these days. The Bit **kroo** 'to stupefy fish' goes back to proto-Austroasiatic *kraw/kraaw 'to poison'. The following plants are used for fish poison:

ksum 'leaves and roots are pounded by the river, water with runoff is sprinkled into the into the area along the bank'

pol 'bark pounded on rocks by the river into a bubbly liquid that runs into a pool of trapped water, which is then released into the stream'

When pounding leaves, bark or roots of these species, the person must not talk or spit, or the fishing will be unsuccessful.

Bit fishers also fish with a crossbow snaa ksek, shooting fish in still, shallow water pep m?uə and using flashlights at night baal m?uə. Groping for fish is also important in many ecological and technological situations. Two movements are distinguished ?aal-?iip 'to grope for fish with a slow motion' and ?aal-?iil 'to grope for fish with a quick motion'.

One additional type of group fishing is known as **lat kleel** 'to obstruct-butt'. I first observed this type of fishing on International Women's Day in 2018, organized by Village Women's Committee, to use more traditional ways of fishing and enjoy a day together cooking and eating together in the forest. This is a type of obstruct-and-scoop method that requires a line of people to move in unison with a log behind (upstream) their calves, as they shuffle forward kicking up the stones on the river floor. The log is a banana tree (**tap kyuək**) to which they fasten leafy branches from a tree that grows by the river (**cntɔh rayree**). They use **cmʔɔɔn** nets to scoop the water in front (downstream) of them collect fish, as well as stones that may have small fish sticking to them.

In smaller upland streams, Bit fishers also 'herd' fish out of a pool into the runof-river traps set downstream. For this method, known as **kwaat** 'to flush out', has one person stations upstream of the pool disturbing the water encouraging the fish downstream; another person located further down disturbs the water to send the fish back across the stream and downstream.

Bit fishing equipment is varied, although not to the degree of larger-scale lowland fishing operations. Fishing methods and gear are significant socially, because certain types of cooperation are associated with each.

6. Fish and crab: Gender and parallelism in Bit cosmology

Fish and crab go hand-in-hand in the aquatic culture of the Tai region. A common Lao elaborate pair denoting 'fish and other things that people get from the river to eat' is paa puu 'fish-crab'. In the Austroasiatic world as well, crab and fish go together as an important pairing of food. In the Phong Laan language spoken in Huaphan, for example, the main dishes featured at the annual pre-harvest festival are

parmo? kaa parmo? raap 'fish and crab cooked in banana leaves'. As noted in the Introduction section, the fish and crab occupy an important place in the Bit imagination of how upland waterscapes contextualize human life: sook m?uə cok kyaar 'search for fish and grab crabs' is an important part of daily livelihood activities, as well as a metaphor for the river as a place of social interaction for men and women.

Fish have a somewhat marked position in the Bit cosmos. The Bit pronoun system has masculine (**ŋpp**), feminine (**koo**) and inanimate (**?pp**) forms in the third person singular. The third person dual (**rkoo**) and plural (**kee**) do not specify gender, although morphologically the dual is formed by adding a pluralizing prefix r- to the feminine singular form. The second person dual is formed in the same way with r-, suggesting that there is a structural bias towards feminine in the Bit world (Badenoch 2017). These pronouns are commonly used as a prefix to mark gender and indicate definiteness. Animals for which the sex is not known are referred to with the feminine **koo**. If the sex is known to be male, the masculine **ŋpp** is used. The feminine default is illustrated nicely by this description of an insect:

koo le? tkooy hoo meen koo mrdd 3SG.FEM to.have horn that COP 3SG.FEM male That one with horns is the male.

The feminine pronoun appears twice: **koo haa** 'that one (she)' reflects the default feminine for an animal is feminine, **koo mrvv** 'the male one (she)' brings the default into the specific reference, in which the male is specified for that specific insect. Having established that it is the male, the speaker could switch to **mrvv** for further reference. In practice, the preference for feminine with insects is very strong. Other animals are referred to as **koo** until the sex is known, or becomes relevant to the discourse. However, animals can only be referred to in the singular, and since it is often impossible to establish the sex of all members of a group, the default feminine **koo** is frequently used.

Fish, however, take the masculine **ŋvv** as their default. This holds across both normal conversational usage and narrative usage. Fish are common characters in folklore, and they are invariably **ŋvv**. There is some variation, however, when a fisher may shift the reference to **koo**, when talking about how delicious a fish that was just caught will be, or when expressing excitement at the number of small fish that were caught in a morning of fishing.

In contrast to the masculine fish, in Bit 'crab' takes the feminine default **koo**. I have never heard the default feminine switched to the masculine for any reason. This creates a neat parallelism between the **npp m?uə** and **koo kjaar**, which is not only a metaphor for the male-female interactions performed in Phaeng's courting song, but suggests a loose division of labor in fishing activities. These gendered themes come out in evasive terms. One indirect term for 'penis' is **?ŋbləəŋ** 'Mr Loach', while the feminine term **kjaar** 'crab' means 'vagina'. It is striking that in the Sora community of Eastern India – who also speak an Austroasiatic language – both fish

and crab are mentioned in ritual texts as cool forces of healing. In such parallel constructions, the two are semantically opposed with gendered connotations "contrasting the crab's grip with the length and slipperiness of a fish" (Vitebsky, m.s. 2018).

7. Looking for 'fish': Taboo and evasive language

When turning off the main path to head to the destination river or stream, Bit fishers will do a short ritual to chase away the **biət sneeŋ** 'spirit of fishing taboo'. A branch is used to sweep the area behind where the fisher stands, the branch is thrown down on the ground and the fisher steps over it. During the sweeping, the following **mntok puh sneeŋ keeŋ kɛɛt** 'blessing-brush.away-bad.luck-???-???' ritual words are uttered:

'Ohhh thooo biət sneen keen keet Spirit of Failed Fishing bah nam bah duəy Don't follow, don't come along! soh duh tuh waay Be gone from here! ccy 335 rasm ccy 335 faw ccy 335 Let me go, and be successful in my hunt! phaan eia sey yech eut yecq asi eut Don't miss the big ones, don't lose the small ones! tuə lak leen maa haa tuə caa leen maa Let the smart ones run to me, let the dumb ones come offer themselves to me. suu ?εε naa Let it be. ccy iin ccy dat ccy 33? Saw ccy 33? Let me go, let me find them, let me see them, let me get them. Sprit of Failed Fishing, be gone! Go to sneen keen keet ?ee soh wa? coo kee mooc kee buər someone else, someone different! bah ?im bah duəy Don't come along, don't follow! Let me be successful and lucky. Reserved that the server as from ?εε yoo kreh kdiin Let me get a big catch. Recy 33 sock ?ndai kreh ?nnan Let me get whatever I am looking for.'

The **biət sneen** is a spirit that will follow the fisherman (or hunter), rather than a spirit that resides in the fishing area, and for that reason must be chased away before getting to the river. A successful trip will be seen as the result of a **biət maan** 'spirit of successful fishing/hunting'. An unsuccessful fishing or hunting trip is described as **kpəəc**, an expressive depicting the feeling that something anticipated was in reality not there; coming back empty handed. A fishing trip that is unsuccessful because of a spirit is known to be the result of **klis** 'to do something against a hunting/fishing taboo'. Two lines in the blessing are in a Tai language; "Don't miss the big ones, don't lose the small ones! Let the smart ones run to me, let the dumb ones come offer themselves to me!" The mixing of codes in this blessing signals the fishing trip as potential transboundary experience, where Bit fishers may move

downstream (spp dəəm) into Tai areas in search of larger fish and a different adventure.

The Bit have a social system of taboo groups **rŋbvvŋ**, in which the consumption of a certain animal's meat, or combination animals and/or plants, is interdicted. There is one group that taboos the eating of a fish known as **clar**. The fish has assumed a mythic identity, but founding story of this taboo group is that a man ate too many sour fruit when walking in the forest and had to relieve himself in the river. This fish attacked him, and although he died from the stomach illness, the fish was blamed for the death. The fish is known to be a large species, living in larger rivers, again signaling that the downstream areas are dangerous.

The larger context of taboo in fishing is important for the word m?uə, which does not have a clear etymology. While the common term for 'to go fishing' is wa? sook m?uə 'go-search.for-fish', the current evasive term is wa? cəə ron 'go to the river'. As mentioned above, most traditional fishing activities normally take place upstream in smaller streams. An elaborate phrase for fishing, lih rpp lih m?uə 'descend-river-descend-fish', was recorded with an elderly male speaker of the language. In Bit, the C position of this type of ABAC elaborate expression often preserves old or borrowed words. I have heard the phrase lih m?uə 'descend-fish' in isolation once, by a speaker of the Puak subdialect of Bit that preserves alternative sounds and meanings. The meaning was given as 'to go fishing', and the explanation was that the fish are down in the river, so one must go down to get to them. In synchronic terms, this explanation is compelling, and this is even feasible in the case of the 4-word elaborate expression as well. However, the B and C words in these elaborate expressions are usually close and semantically clever – that is, if B was 'river' one would expect C to be something directly related to a water from. This slight incongruence is interesting, because it is another hint in the search for an explanation for the innovated term m?uə which at some point replaced kaa. It is possible that m?uə is a taboo term that originally meant 'river', undergoing phonological and semantic change from the proto-Austroasiatic etymon *gma? 'rain'. At some point in time when the Bit moved into areas closer to Tai settlements in lower parts of the watershed, they may have replaced the word kaa in order to avoid bad luck Khmu and Tai spirits (and people), as the Khmu word kaa 'fish' is well-known by Tai people in areas of cultural contact.

Looking internally, there is more evidence for a lexical replacement motivated by taboo. The elaborate phrase for fish is **m?uə mndaac**. Most villagers attach no meaning to **mndaac**. It is found in no other usage in the language, and is highly

⁶ The changes required are shown by regular sound changes and correspondences: *g > ?, *a – uə, easily established by comparison with Khmu. The proposed route of semantic change here is *rain>water>river>fish. While the semantic change proposed may seem fanciful, a look at the broader internal and comparative data can help unpack a compelling storyline involving water in the uplands. This will be elaborated at a later date, drawing on data from the languages spoken in the linguistic micro-region between the Black and Ou Rivers, spanning the Lao-Vietnam border area.

unlikely to be a Khmu borrowing because of the mn- minor syllable which does not occur in that language. Moreover, this term is found in the Quang Lam variety of Khang (in fact, better understood as a dialect of Bit), which has daac 'fish' (Nguyen 1995). Quang Lam Khang is spoken in Muong Nhe, Dien Bien province of Vietnam, a short distance north of the six Bit villages in Muang Mai, Phongsaly province of Laos. This is the watershed area between the Black River basin and the Ou River basin where the Khang live. Khang is the closest relative of Bit (Sidwell 2014), but all dialects of Khang for which data is available, have ka⁵⁵ for fish (see Badenoch 2019a for more on the Bit-Khang group). Bit villagers in Phongsaly province on the Lao side have interactions with these villagers, who they include in the **psiin ?ii** 'us people' Self group. Incidentally, the common word for 'fish' in the Puak dialect of Bit spoken in Luang Namtha is **mndaac**. It is possible that this is a reflex of proto-Austroasiatic *dəc 'to be deficient, small in quantity' or *duuc/duəc 'small', with the common Bit nominalizing prefix mn-. As an elaborate pair, the semantics of m?uə 'large fish' and mndaac 'small fish' suggest complex layers of tabooing in which kaa was replaced by m?uə, mndaac was added as an evasive term, and then retained as the common term in the Puak dialect. Because Bit fish names do not take a general FISH term, it would be easy lexically for a social prohibition to be encoded in the language through a taboo or evasive term such as m?uə to replace kaa as the general term.

Diffloth (1980) explains tabooing in Aslian languages that use "disgracing names" to obscure reference to a specific animal. If one is to replace a common lexical name with a taboo name for fish, 'the small ones' or 'the ones that are scarce' would be a plausible semantic direction. This type of language use is common in Bit in the semantic realm of foods. Most parts of a meal can be referred to with evasive terms, most of which have some sort of negative connotation; for example rmri? 'rubbish, trash' refers to vegetables, when there is meat available in a meal; kdon kaa doon 'stinging-ant eggs' is rice and cmlah 'rough chunk' means meat. The list goes on and on, include many nouns and verbs used in daily life. Moreover, all ethnic groups that the Bit interact with have at least one, and usually multiple, evasive names. Most of these are openly pejorative, or pick up on some subtle negative characteristic, in a playful way. In the case of mndaac, after it was conventionalized in the elaborate pair, its original meaning was lost.

In the taboo names for animals in the Semai language of Malaysia, Diffloth (1980) explains,

[w]hat is being avoided in the real name is not actually its phonological representation, but rather its lexicalization; the principle is that species X should not be called by the real name for that species: the lexicalization process must be derailed and some other way of naming must be found; but the real names themselves, as phonological words, are nothing to be upset about" (162).

Thus, it is not uncommon for a tabooed name to appear in another name, taboo or normal. In this way, /kaa/ could have survived in several fish names, while ceasing to refer to fish in general. The point is that speakers are intentionally misleading, creative and joking. As suggested by Diffloth, in many ways the Bit seem ready "to taboo everything at all times". This seems to be the case with fishing. Yet surprisingly, Bit do not have many evasive names for forest animals that they hunt. Instead, they have chosen to taboo one group of prey that are limited to aquatic ecosystems. In light of the special position fish and fishing have in the Bit imagination, the linguistic tabooing system seems to be as much part of the evasive language system for social relations as a way of mediating human-animal relations.

8. Watching fish: Expressiveness and fish movements

To ground his discussion of the how forests think in the Amazon, Kohn (2013) started with an example how an expressive word was taken as the voice of the forest, depicting, rather than describing, the event of a pig falling into the water. Bit expressives are used to discuss a wide range of natural phenomena, including a large number related to fishing. These cover visual and aural perceptions, changes in state and importantly, the individual's thoughts and feelings regarding these.

In one storytelling session, I recorded a speaker referring to a fishing trip as sook kii sook m?uə, 'search.for-???-search.for-fish'. The nonlexical word /kii/ could be a joke derived from the echo word formation /kaa kii/ 'fish and the like'. This is a highly productive means of deriving constructions of this sort, through reduplication with manipulation of the vowel. The speaker took the mutated echo form to provide poetic enhancement to m?uə, in a way that is intentionally misleading, yet playing on a semantic substratum. This explanation was not accepted by another person in the audience, but the speaker just smiled when I questioned him later. The same speaker, who sometimes uses the Puak variety in his speech, also said on one occasion m?uə kəə ?iim kaa-kii kaa-kii 'the fish all came kaa-kii kaakii', employing what seems to be the same echoing device as an expressive. This was also rejected by speakers of the main variety in the village, possibly the Puak variety is a lower prestige dialect. There is evidence for this sort of expressivization. Observing a duck waddling around quacking, a Bit speaker may say kaap-kaap, seemingly reduplicating the normal word for 'duck' kaap. Arguably, the 'normal' word is itself a mimetic deriving from the sound a duck makes. However, in the above expressive form, the connotation is both sight and sound. Moreover, if there is more than one duck, the plural r- prefix of normal expressive morphology is taken: rkaap-rkaap depicts a scene of multiple ducks waddling and quacking.

In Bit storytelling, the sight and sound of a throw net hitting the surface of the water is captured by **jruum**. This expressive evokes the expectation of good luck and large fish, because it is the end result of the throw. After finding the right place to throw, the net is released and spreads out in the air to its full circular size. It hits the surface and quickly sinks to the bottom. In depicting fish, expressives are often used to evoke feelings of grace, beauty and smoothness. A favorite expressive use of an enthusiastic storyteller and avid fisher (also the source of **kaa-kii** above) is

m?uə sŋaam nɒk lwɛɛt-lwɛɛt 'the fish was so beautiful there, swimming back and forth slowly and gracefully'. Commonly heard expressives (these include some morphological derivations from common expressive bases, but are included to indicate the semantic specificity) depicting fishing scenarios include swimming movement

triip-triip-triip	movement of a fish swimming across a stretch of water
ckir-ckir	movement of one fish back and forth near the top of the
	water
cirkir-cirkir	a fish swimming with fin visible
crker-crker	a small fish swimming in shallow water
cŋluəŋ-cŋluəŋ	a large fish swimming straight along the riverbank
cŋlɔɔŋ-cŋlɔɔŋ	a medium fish swimming straight along the riverbank
leykuəy	fish swimming smoothly in water
lən?uən-lən?uən	fish darting forth with quick punctuated movements
lweet	fish swimming slowly and elegantly, body moving back and
	forth in smooth motion

There are expressives depicting fish coming to the water surface, mouths of different shapes searching for food

buə?	a fish emerging from water surface suddenly to devour food
bo?-ba?	fish swimming, perceived from the ripples on the water
	surface
rbooc-rbooc	pointed mouths of many fish popping up out of the water to
	eat in one place
rbaac-rbooc	fish popping up and down in and out of sight, over wide area
rsuuc	many fish suddenly darting up out of the water

The sight of a motionless fish in the water evokes a range of mental imagery. The beauty of the fish is often juxtaposed with the concern that the fish is about to dart out of sight.

sm ii r	fish faintly visible below the water, cannot be identified
rɨŋŋɨŋ-rɨŋŋɨŋ	many fish lined up, sticking out of a hole in a river
rənŋəən	fish tails lined up sticking out of a hole, same length
lək?iək	large fish floating dead, upside down on water surface
liə?-liə?	reflection of water on fish body in shallow water, causes
	momentary shine
1?ppn	small compact, longish body unmoving
lən?uən	long, smooth body of a fish, motionless but about to dart out
	quickly
ly ii p-lyiip	fish gills fluttering lightly as it breathes

The motion of fish struggling when caught, foregrounding the life force of the fish, even as it is drained out of the water.

bnuul-bnuul 'large fish flopping on the ground'

dedool 'large fish flopping, loosing strength as it dies' duəl-duəl 'flopping around out of water vigorously'

wiil-wiil 'wiggling back and forth, like pile of fish just caught' mpul-mpul 'small fish caught in throw net struggling to get out'

The expressive depiction of encounters with fish is slightly different than that of mammals encountered on a hunting trip. In one story, a hunter is troubled by the ethical dilemmas of shooting a deer when their eyes meet in a forest clearing. The round, shining eyes (pŋaay roklok) of the deer reflect its life, which strikes the hunter as fundamentally the same as his own. Fish live in a different world under the water, which fishers are happy to venture into during their fishing activities. But this world, as represented in oral literature, holds a different set of dangers. These are related to the presence of cŋas 'dragon', and may result in being taken down to the bottom of the river. The danger can also include being enticed by a woman into the dark depths of the river, again underscoring the masculine fish and the Bit association with that element of river cosmology. While the expressives used with fish do not evoke the emotional connections they do with mammals, they do provide insight on the respect and affection for their movements and strength.

9. Fishing for Souls: Healing Ritual in Forest

Life in the forest is fraught with danger. One of the most common sources of illness in Bit society is due to a startling experience in the forest (**srmaal tnrɔ?**) – sudden appearance of a snake, an unexpected loud noise, unexpected falling tree – resulting in the spirit of the person leaving the body and getting lost. Treating this type of illness involves a ritual specialist calling making an offering to the spirit and calling the spirit back to the body. The reuniting of the life force and the body returns the person to health.

The name of this ritual, **soon knlon** 'scoop for the lost person', opens up another window on fish in the Bit cosmos. This phrase is etymologically Tai, composed of **soon** 'to scoop with a net' and **knlon**, a reduced compound khon lon 'person-lost', losing aspiration and creating a minor syllable. The contents of the ritual are not Tai, but a similar ritual does exist in the Tai world. The use of the Tai name suggests that unfortunate journeys through the forest may involve contact with the Tai world. Unlike other rituals, the offerings made at this ritual include fish, the common, small varieties that the Bit depend upon for daily protein.

le? m?uə mndaac le? syaa pəə piiŋ tliiŋ pəə təŋ ?im pmah pcaa kr?iə knhaar srmaal srmo?

cle? cloo, koon plon no? bibat biboon troon boon ?uuc coo trluu coo naa 'There are all sorts of fish there is barbequed *syaa* there is grilled *tliin* come to be fed calling spirit of

grandchildren, children in the forest areas and roads

come home to your home, to your house.'

Offerings of fish, chicken, eggs, alcohol, bananas, sugarcane, betel and husked rice – the common items enjoyed in daily life – are made in the area where the spirit is thought to have gotten lost. The ritual specialist takes a **cm?ɔɔn** hand net and begins scooping around the area (**crɔh wa? crɔh ?uuc** 'scoop-go-scoop-return', note the use of the Bit word for the action, in contrast to the Tai word **sɔɔn** used in the name of the ritual), going through the actions of hand-netting fish, overturning rocks and sifting through the other aquatic life and river-bottom materials that get caught in the net.

The image of fishing the forest floor to retrieve the lost spirit of a person raises new questions about the Bit relationship to fish. As mentioned above, deep rivers are sometimes perceived as dangerous, portals to a different world. In narrative, looking up from the underwater world, the normal world of humans can be conflated with **mion teen** 'the world of the sky spirit'; if we flip our basic reference, it could be that the human world and the fish world that are being juxtaposed here. What seems clear is that this ritual disturbs common conceptions of what forest means to these uplanders. The spirit world of the forest is also a river. Searching for a life force in that river, the Bit spirit may be understood as a fish.

10. People of the Fishing Hook

The Bit propensity for word play, obfuscation and creative manipulation of language associated with taboos has been a major force shaping the language over the generations. A bit person who is silly or lacks common sense can be called **tnrəəy bah le? krlət** 'a fish hook without a barb'. Someone from outside the group, without the commons sense to know what is right and wrong, might be called **trliiy luul** 'albino small fish', where **luul** means 'stupid'. The various imagery of fish is vivid in Bit social life, reflecting important role that fishing plays for them. In the Bit cosmos, fish represent a male default in the otherwise female world, symbolically maintaining half of the fish-crab parallel representation of river life.

The word m?uə is a highly marked etymon, replacing at some point in history the stable and widely distributed reflexes of *kaa. The upland streams where the Bit conduct most of their fishing supply a large variety of aquatic life, most of which has a native Bit name, with no life form marker used. Further downstream, where the rivers are larger and the social interactions more frequent, some fish are known by Tai names. The form kaa is preserved only in a few specific names.

Upland peoples inhabiting the mountainous areas of mainland Southeast Asia are not normally known for their fishing. Bit fishing knowledge and practices provide a rich source of information for comparison with the hunting and gathering of other groups. They readily accept that the Khmu are master hunters with their sophisticated traps and skill in producing animals calls. At the same time, they are also confident that their knowledge of the fishing landscape is a key element of their survival skills. From here we can continue to explore the nature of human-animal relations, conceptualization of forest-river-village boundaries and imaginations of local eco-history. The linguistic depth of the Bit fishing world is as deep as the ecological knowledge, and the two should swim together in our research.

Acknowledgment

Many thanks to Jim Chamberlain, Aung Si and Nishaant Choksi for useful comments on an early draft of the paper. Solar provides insightful, generous and patient instruction, explanation and interpretation of the Bit language. Mistakes are of course my own. Research was conducted with support from the Kyoto University Hakubi Program and a JSPS Grant-in-Aid from the Japanese Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Technology and Science.

References

- Århem, Kaj. 2016. Animism and the hunter's dilemma: Hunting, sacrifice and asymetrical exchange among the Katu of Vietnam. In Århem and Springer (eds.) Animism in Southeast Asia. Oxon: Routledge.
- Badenoch, Nathan. 2017. Bit Personal Pronouns in a Northern Mon-Khmer Context. Research in Asian Languages. Vol. 10: 5—23. Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- ____. 2019a. Elaborate Expressions in the Bit Language: Performance and Parallelism in a Multilingual Context. Journal of Lao Language 1. Vientiane: National University of Laos.
- ____. 2019b. The Ethnopoetics of Sida Animal Names. In Norihiko Hayashi (ed.) Topics in Middle Mekong Linguistics, Journal of Research Institute (60): 39— 73. Kobe: Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- Badenoch, Nathan and Tomita, Shinsuke. 2013. Mountain People in the Muang: Creation and Governance of a Tai Muang in Northwestern Laos. Southeast Asian Studies 2(1): 29-67.
- Baird, Ian G. and Manorom, Kanokwan. 2019. "Migrating fish and mobile knowledge: situated fishers' knowledge and social networks in the lower Mekong River Basin in Thailand, Laos and Cambodia", Mobilities 14:6, 762-777, DOI: 10.1080/17450101.2019.1635343.
- Burenhult, Niclas. 2006. Streams of Words: Hydrological lexicon in Jahai. Language Sciences 30: 182-199.

- Chamberlain, James R. 1977. An Introduction to Proto-Tai Zoology. Ph.D. dissertation, University of Michigan.
 ____. 2018. A Kri-Mol (Vietic) Bestiary: Prolegomena to the Study of Ethnozoology in the Northern Annamites. Kyoto Working Papers on Area Studies No. 133. Kyoto: Center for Southeast Asian Studies.
- ____. 2019a. History that Slithers: Kra-Dai and the Pythonidae. *Southeast Asian Studies* 8(1): 25-51.
- _____. 2019b. The word for 'snake' in Thémarou, Bolyu, Bit, Kra, Jiamao, and Oceanic A Lapita Connection. in Norihiko Hayashi (ed.), *Topics in Middle Mekong Linguistics*, Journal of Research Institute (60): 1—38. Kobe: Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- _____. (this volume) A Note on the Paleo-Ethnoherpetology of Giant Softshell Turtles (Trionychidae) in Kra-Dai and Austronesian. In Norihiko Hayashi (ed.), *Topics in Middle Mekong Linguistics 2*. Kobe: Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- Diffloth, Gérard. 1980 To Taboo Everything at all Times. Proceedings of the Sixth Annual Meeting of the Berkeley Linguistics Society (1980), pp. 157-165.
- Evrard, Olivier. 2012 "Foreword", in Tayanin and Lindell, *Hunting and Fishing in a Kammu Village*. Copenhagen: NIAS Press.
- Hayashi, Norihiko. 2019. Notes on Faunal terms in At Samart Saek. In Norihiko Hayashi (ed.), *Topics in Middle Mekong Linguistics*, Journal of Research Institute (60): 97-119. Kobe: Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- Herzfeld, Michael. 2004. *Cultural Intimacy: Social Poetics in the Nation State*. New York: Routledge.
- Jernudd, Björn H. and Elizabeth Thuan. 2008. Naming fish: A problem exploration. *Language in Society* 13(2): 235-244.
- Kohn, Eduardo. 2013. *How Forests Think: Towards an Anthropology Beyond the Human*, University of California Press (2013)
- Kottelat, Maurice. 2001. Fishes of Laos. Published privately.
- _____. 2011. Acrossocheilus xamensis. *The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species*. Gland: International Union for the Conservation of Nature.
- Kurabe, Keita (this volume) The Phonology, Morphology, and Semantics of Burmese Animal Nomenclature. In Norihiko Hayashi (ed.), *Topics in Middle Mekong Linguistics 2*. Kobe: Kobe City University of Foreign Studies.
- Nguyễn Văn Huy. 1995. Về nhóm Kháng ở Bản Quảng Lâm. In Về vấn dề xác đinh thàng phần các dân tộc thiểu số ở miền bắc Việt nam. Nhà xuất bản khoa học xã hội Hà Nội, Ủy ban khoa học xã hội Viết Nam, Vien Dân Tọc Học.
- Roberts, Tyson R. and Ian Baird. 1995. Traditional Fisheries and Ecology on the Mekong River at Khone Waterfalls in Southern Laos. *Natural History Bulletin of the Siam Society* 43: 219-262.
- Shorto, Harry L., Paul Sidwell, Doug Cooper and Christian Bauer, eds. 2006. *A Mon–Khmer Comparative Dictionary*. Canberra: Australian National University. Pacific Linguistics.

- Sidwell, Paul. 2014 Khmuic classification and homeland. Mon-Khmer Studies Journal (MKS) 43:47-56.
- Springer, Guido. 2016 "Graded personhood: Human and non-human actors in the Southeast Asian uplands", in Århem and Springer (eds) Animism in Southeast Asia. Oxon: Routledge.
- Svantesson, Jan-Olof, Kàm Ràw, Kristina Lindell, Håkan Lundstrom. 2014. Dictionary of Kammu Yùan Language and Culture. Copenhagen: NIAS Press.
- Tayanin, Damrong and Kristina Lindell. 2012. Hunting and Fishing in a Kammu Village. Copenhagen: NIAS Press.
- Vitebsky, Piers. 2017. The creation, and abandonment, of metaphor in Sora parallel ritual verse. Paper presented at the 7th International Conference on Austro-Linguistics. Christian-Albrechts-Universität, Germany. September 2017 (Revised 6 January 2018)