神戸市外国語大学 学術情報リポジトリ

Tell me a Story: Oral Interpretation in the English Classroom

メタデータ	言語: eng
	出版者:
	公開日: 2015-12-22
	キーワード (Ja):
	キーワード (En):
	作成者: Kluge, David
	メールアドレス:
	所属:
URL	https://kobe-cufs.repo.nii.ac.jp/records/2004

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 International License.



Tell me a Story: Oral Interpretation in the English Classroom

David KLUGE

Abstract

Oral Interpretation (OI) is a special kind of storytelling performance that is between reading aloud and theatre. This paper defines OI, then explains why OI is a good activity for EFL classes, next outlines the steps to teaching OI, and finally explains how to do an OI festival.

1. Introduction

"Once upon a time there lived a lonely giant . . ."

So starts another evening of reading stories to not-so-sleepy children. Children delight in the interesting names of storybook people (Rumpelstiltskin, Pippi Longstocking) and places (the Emerald City of Oz, Narnia), and the odd characters (Willy Wonka, Eeyore). They nod with familiarity at the repetitions that appear in many stories ("for he was a bear of Very Little Brain"). They shiver with delight at the scary parts ("I 'do' believe in spooks. I 'do' believe in spooks. I do. I do I do!"). Finally, they sigh with satisfaction at the words,

"They lived happily ever after."

Little do they know that they are learning language, culture, and life. This phenomenon is not only exhibited by young children—high school and university students also respond to the allure of storytelling. They like to listen to stories, and when given the chance, some training and encouragement, come to like telling stories. One way to include all these modes of storytelling in a high school or university English program is through the use of Oral Interpretation (OI), sometimes called dramatic reading, interpretive reading, or readers theatre. This paper first defines oral interpretation, then establishes the theoretical and pedagogical bases of OI, next

examines some of the supporting research, then describes the situation of one institution that has OI as an integral part of its curriculum, next relates the process of doing an OI project in the class, and finally relates how one institution runs an OI festival.

2. Definition of OI

OI, or "the oralization of literature" (Campbell, 1967, p. 9), is defined as follows:

Oral Interpretation is the expression and sharing of literature with an audience. The function of the interpreter is to establish oneself as a liaison between the author who created the literature and the audience, which responds to it. The goal of the interpreter should be influenced by the author's intention, which can be discovered by investigation into the author's background, viewpoint and the time and conditions under which the selection was written. (THSSDL, 2011)

Unlike drama, the oral interpreters face the audience and interact with it instead of each other. Also unlike drama, oral interpreters usually hold their script. The final differences between drama and OI are that OI does not require a set, props, costumes, make-up, or lights.

3. Theoretical and Pedagogical Background to the Use of OI

The ultimate theoretical/pedagogical source of OI is John Dewey (1933). His "learning by doing" is the basis for all such performance-based class activities such as speech, drama, debate, and OI. Why should EFL teachers consider using OI? There are four reasons. Each of these points is explained in detail below:

3.1 OI has a strong theoretical base for good language learning.

According to John Oller and his episode hypothesis, "texts (oral or written forms of discourse) which are more episodically organized can be stored and recalled more easily than less episodically organized material" (Oller, 1983b, p. 44). In his recommendations for EFL materials development, Oller (1983a, pp. 12-16) suggested that texts that have the episodic structure that stories have would better facilitate second language acquisition. Episodic texts, essentially stories, Oller claims, are easier to understand and retain. Since OI usually uses a story or poem, it is episodic in structure, making it a good method for teaching and learning languages.

3.2 OI meets the criteria for good task design.

OI meets the criteria for good task design. Ellis (2003, pp. 276-278) presents eight principles with which tasks should be designed. OI corresponds well with these criteria, as can be seen in Table 1:

Table 1. OI Meets Ellis's Criteria of Good Task Design

Ellis's Criteria	OI's Characteristic	
1. Appropriate level of	When choosing the OI text to perform, this is one	
difficulty	factor to consider.	
2 Clogy goals	The clear goal of OI for students is to create a good	
2. Clear goals	performance.	
3. Appropriate orientation	Since students choose the performance piece, their	
(motivation)	motivation is high.	
4. Active role	OI demands participation from each member.	
5 Diek teking	Performing with and in front of peers on stage is a big	
5. Risk-taking	risk for students.	
6 Foods on magning	One principle of OI is students must know the	
6. Focus on meaning	meaning of all the words.	
7. Opportunities to focus on	Determining the "how" of presenting a piece focuses	
form	students' attention on form.	
9 Calf analystica	Video recording of practice and performance is much	
8. Self-evaluation	easier now for the purpose of self-evaluation.	

As can be seen, OI clearly meets the criteria of a good EFL activity.

3.3 OI meets the criteria for good project design in PBL.

OI also meets the criteria for a good project for Project-Based Learning (PBL). PBL is a student-centered teaching approach, which uses projects extensively in the classroom. Each project poses a challenge to engage students in a process of discovery of knowledge and skills that culminates in a tangible product of their discovery process. Ford and Kluge (2015) coined the phrase "Creative PBL" to encompass such projects as OI or film-making.

PBL provides many benefits for EFL classes. Thomas (2000) summarized research on the general benefits of PBL: it is related to significant test score

improvement on standardized academic achievement exams, increased ability to solve problems, increased ability to understand the content of subject matter, improved understanding of the skills and strategies introduced in the project, and "perceived changes in group problem solving, work habits, and other PBL process behaviors."

Beckett (2006) cited research showing PBL benefits specific to second language learning: it provides rich opportunities for comprehensible input and output, it improves critical thinking and problem solving skills, as well as improves higher order thinking skills.

For Japanese language learners, research shows that PBL allows Japanese students to experience intercultural experiences without leaving Japan, or in preparation for leaving Japan (Maekawa, 2009 in Apple et al., 2015; Falout, Fukada, Murphey & Fukuda, 2013).

Ford and Kluge (2015) looked at various definitions of PBL and projects in PBL and distilled a set of PBL characteristics. OI, as it is practiced in the institution that Ford and Kluge teach at, meets the 12 characteristics, as seen in Table 2.

Table 2. Analysis of OI as PBL (Ford & Kluge, 2015)

Variable Aspects of PBL	How OI Fits as PBL
	Students realize how important the prosodic
1. Essentialness: Students must feel that the	elements of language are in communication,
project is important.	and know that OI teaches these important
	elements.
	OI is central to the identity of the institution
2. Centrality: The project should be an	and the curriculum. It is taught and practiced
important part of the curriculum.	in one unit each of the four semesters of their
	junior college life.
	All students must perform their OI on stage
	in front of the entire student body in what is
3. Goal Relevance: Students must see the	known as the English Performance Festival
goal as important to reach their goal.	(EPF). They have all seen a live performance
goal as important to reach their goal.	or DVD recording of OI, so they know that
	others have done the task, and have done
	well in the amount of time provided.

Motivation: Students must feel motivated to do the project.	The driving question for each OI project is, "What is the most creative and interesting way to communicate the words and feelings of this literary piece?"
Engagement: Each student must have something important to do to complete the project.	Students all participate in the rehearsal and performance.
Creativity: There must be a chance for students to try something creative and innovative.	The students look for creative ways to interpret the piece they selected.
Ownership: The students must have a large role in the selecting of the project and running of the project so that they feel it is their project.	Students, choose the piece, discuss it with student group leaders, decide how to perform the piece, run the rehearsals, and run the performance.
Collaboration: The project must be constructed to promote collaboration and cooperation to complete it.	The project requires, they must collaboration throughout the process.
Production: The project must culminate in an end product.	The class performs their interpretation of the piece in front of the entire student body, faculty, and staff of the college.
Reflection: The project should include opportunities for students to reflect on what they are doing.	Each rehearsal is video recorded and students look at their video, critique it, and discuss how to improve for the next rehearsal. The performance is video recorded and students must assess themselves and then evaluate themselves, making note of how to improve for the next EPF.
Application: Students must see an application of skills acquired in the project to activities outside of the project.	Students apply what they learn to the next rehearsal and the next performance in other classes.
Challenge: Students must see a challenge and be challenged by the project.	Very few students have previously performed on stage in front of a large audience, so it is a challenge for everybody to do so.

OI clearly works well as a PBL project, especially a Creative PBL project.

3.4 OI increases reading fluency.

In current reading theory, reading fluency is made up of "accurate reading of connected text at a conversational rate with appropriate prosody (expression)" (Hudson, 2006, p. 1). In OI, students study a piece to understand it, which makes for an "accurate teaching of connected text" (Hudson, 2006, p. 1). The goal for a performance is for students to interpret the piece at a "conversational rate." OI is extremely effective in teaching the third element of reading fluency, prosody, which is the rhythm, stress, and intonation of speech, since prosody is an important element of performance.

The fit between the definition of reading fluency and the method of OI is one of the two reasons Hudson (2006) recommends it as an activity. In addition, Hudson (2006, p. 10) cites a variety of studies reporting increased motivation, reading achievement, and reading fluency with readers' theatre (RT), a form of OI. Willcutt (n.d.) looked at research that supported Readers Theater's reading fluency efficacy through repeated reading.

Willcutt provided additional research supporting the efficacy of RT and OI on reading fluency. In a review of the Readers Theater and reading fluency research, she cited the work of LaBerge and Samuels (1974) and Samuels (1979) that concluded that repeated reading, the activity that is basic to OI, builds fluency. OI clearly is an important tool in the EFL teacher's toolbox.

4. One Use of Oral Interpretation: Nanzan Junior College

The following sections describe how one institution, Nanzan Junior College, incorporates oral interpretation in its English curriculum. Nanzan Junior College has had a long history of using OI. It started in 1981 (Asano, Kluge & Kumai, 2012) through the efforts of Makoto Omi and Yoshiko Tanaka, two teachers at Nanzan Junior College. In the years since 1981 the school has sponsored an annual OI contest for high schools in October (this year the 21st contest) and two Oral Interpretation Festivals (OIF) a year for its students, as well as giving OI workshops around the region.

The OIF, at first an extra-curricular activity, and then a co-curricular activity in 2011, became a curricular event in 2012. One of the required English classes is called Presentation in English 1-4. Presentation in English 1-2 is for first year students and Presentation in English 3-4 is for second year students. Each of the courses has seven sections, about 20 students in each section, making 14 sections of about 300 students. The courses meet once a week for 90 minutes. One unit in each semester of each course is devoted for preparation for the new curricular festival, renamed the English

Performance Festival (EPF). One festival is held in the spring semester in late June, and one in the autumn semester in early December. Each course section has five minutes to perform on stage, making for a 90-minute EPF.

Two groups of people are involved in the EPF, one group is comprised of the classes of students and teachers of the courses, and the other group by the teachers, support staff, and a few students who work on running the EPF. Each group's activities and responsibilities are described in the following sections so that any other group can hold something equivalent to an EPF.

Group 1: Teachers and Students in Presentation in English Classes

The first group made up of teachers and students of the Presentation in English classes go through three stages, described in detail in Table 3: Stage 1 made up of First Steps: Preparation; Stage 2 made up of Middle Steps: Rehearsal; and Stage 3 made up of Final Steps: Polishing, Performance, and Reflection.

For the students, Stage 1 is an ambiguous time when they can barely see what the road is like or where it will lead.

Stage 2 for the students is a busy time, starting slowly but ending up with a feeling that there was not enough time to do what they want because they finally see both the road and the destination, but fear it is too late to get to the destination in time.

Stage 3 is the busiest stage, with students rushing to get things done, and finally deciding if the trip was worth the effort. In most cases the answer is yes, it was worth it. For students, the most valuable part is the performance in Stage 3.

For teachers, the most important part is Stage 2, where students build group cohesion, leaders step forward, sometimes some unlikely students become leaders (a pleasant surprise), and students collaborate and create.

Table 3. The Three Stages of the OTT offeet			
STAGE 1	STAGE 2	STAGE 3	
First Steps: Preparation	Middle Steps: Rehearsal	Final Steps: Polishing,	
		Performance, & Reflection	
1: Teacher describes	1: Teacher gives basics of OI	1: Students decide how to	
the OI project and its	to students.	enter the stage space, do	
goals		the final bow, and exit the	
(in class).		stage.	

Table 3. The Three Stages of the OI Project

2: Students think of a	2: Teacher shows previous OI	2: Students discuss/decide
piece	performances and other	whether costumes, props,
(as homework).	video clips that will inform	music are necessary.
	them on what is expected and	
	possible.	
3: Students select a	3: Students discuss the	3: Students discuss/decide
piece (in class).	meaning of the piece.	how to dress, what kind of
		props, music, and other
		performance details.
4: A student distributes	4: Students discuss ways to	4: Students rehearse with
the script digitally	interpret the piece.	music, props, and
(as homework).		costumes, if any.
5: Students read the	5: Students divide the class	5: Teacher helps students
script (as homework).	into performing sub-groups.	polish the performance.
6: Students think of	6: Students assign parts of	6: Students rehearse on
ways to interpret the	the piece to each sub-group.	stage.
piece (as homework).		
	7: Sub-groups select a leader.	7: Students meet out of
		class for final rehearsals.
	8: Students choose one or	8: Students perform.
	two overall leaders.	
	9: Each sub-group practices by	9: Students watch the video
	themselves.	of their performance is
		video recorded.
	10: Sub-groups puts the parts	10: Students do self
	together each class.	assessment and self
		evaluation for the group
		and the individual.
	11: Students video themselves	11: Students discuss what
	in sub-groups and as a class,	to do to improve for the
	using their mobile phones.	next EPF.
	12: Students watch the video.	
	13: Students discuss how to	
	improve the performance.	

14: Teacher observes, answers	
questions, and occasionally	
gives advice.	
15. Students also arrange to	
meet outside of class to	
rehearse.	

Group 2: Teachers, Staff, and a Few Students

The teachers tasked to run the EPF, the support staff, and a few students work to put the EPF program together. They do so in three stages, as can be seen in Table 4.

Table 4. The Three Stages of Running the OI Project

Table 4. The Three Stages of Running the Off Toject			
STAGE 1	STAGE 2	STAGE 3	
First Steps: Preparation	Middle Steps: Rehearsal	Final Steps: Polishing,	
		Performance, & Reflection	
1: The chair of the committee	1: One teacher asks	1: MCs practice reading	
of teachers responsible for	his/her Presentation in	the script in front of the	
the running of the EPF asks	English class/es for	teacher doing the actions	
the support staff to reserve	volunteers to be MCs	and reading dramatically	
the auditorium for the	(usually 4, two for the	and with authority.	
tech rehearsal, and dress	first half and two for		
rehearsal/performance (2 full	the second half of the		
days one week apart).	program, two first year		
	students and two second		
	year students.		
2: The committee of teachers	2: One teacher creates the	2: MCs practice reading	
responsible for the running	program in consultation	the script in front of the	
of the EPF meet and divide	with the Presentation in	teacher doing the actions,	
the tasks of making the	English teachers.	reading dramatically with	
program, distributing the		authority, and with the	
program to teachers,		sound and lighting cues.	
selecting and training of the			
MCs and writing the MCs'			
script, running the rehearsals			

on the stage, and the taking		
of video and still photos.		
3: The teacher responsible	3: The program is	3: One week before the
for making the program	distributed to the	performance one teacher
sends a memo to the	Presentation in English	with a representative of
Presentation in English	teachers.	each class that requires
teachers asking them to		tech runs the technical
email the title of the piece to		rehearsal with the sound,
the entire group of teachers		lighting, and AV
and a digital copy of the		requested by the classes.
script to the teacher		
responsible.		
4: The teacher responsible	4: Presentation in English	4: One teacher runs the
sends all the scripts to all the	teachers share the	dress rehearsals on the day
Presentation in English and	program with their	of the performance.
Reading in English teachers	classes to see if there	
to distribute to their students	are problems with the	
so they can study what other	order.	
classes are doing and		
understand what they are		
doing.		
5: Students read all the	5: The teacher creates the	5: One teacher creates the
pieces.	final program.	PowerPoint slideshow
		with each of the
		presentations on each
		slide.
	6: One teacher distributes	6: Before the performance
	the program to other	one teacher does a dress
	teachers in the	rehearsal with the MCs.
	department that do not	
	teach Presentation in	
	English classes.	
	7: One teacher creates the	7: Before the performance
	MC script based on a	the support staff prepares

model.	and sets the two video
	cameras on tripods.
8: The teacher distributes	8: During the performance
the MC script to the MCs	one teacher runs the lights,
and divides them into two	sound, PowerPoint, and
teams, one second-year	AV. One teacher takes still
student with one first year	photos. The support staff
student.	run the two video
	cameras.
9: MCs practice reading	9: During the
the script in front of the	performance, the MCs run
teacher.	the program.
10: MCs practice reading	10: After the performance,
the script in front of the	one teacher is responsible
teacher, but doing the	for organizing the students
actions of using the	in cleaning up the
microphone, standing,	auditorium.
and sitting down at the	
appropriate times.	
	11:The support staff
	creates a DVD of the EPF,
	burns a DVD for each
	teacher, and distributes
	the DVDs.
	12: The committee and
	support staff discuss how
	the EPF went, and what to
	improve next time.
	13: One teacher treats the
	MCs to cake and tea in the
	classroom at lunchtime to
	thank them for their hard
	work, and to ask them
	what they thought of the
	, ,
	experience.

Stage 1 is made up of the preparatory steps that are necessary before the main work can be started: dividing the tasks among the teachers tasked to run the EPF, and collecting and distributing the titles and scripts of the pieces to be performed.

Stage 2 is getting everything ready for the performance stage: finding the MCs, creating the final order of the EPF program and distributing it to all teachers, including those teachers in the department that do not teach Presentation in English classes, and practicing the MC script.

Stage 3 is the last minute things that are necessary for a smooth-running program: a technical rehearsal, a dress rehearsal for classes and MCs, and setting up the AV equipment. Also included in this stage is the wrap-up after the EPF.

4.1 The teaching details

After reading the above sections, teachers can understand why they might want to do OI and how to run an OI festival, but there is still one thing that should worry them: what to teach about how to do OI. The next section will go through some of the things that can be taught. In the first class of this unit of Presentation in English class, the teacher teaches the principles of oral interpretation described below.

First comes *Selection of the Piece*. In the case of Nanzan Junior College, the piece selected comes from the Reading in English textbook. There are certain qualities that make for a good piece. Choose from the English textbook something that is humorous, heartwarming, and/or dramatic, as these qualities catch the attention and interest of the audience. In addition, the piece should be appropriate for a group; that is, the piece should easily be divided into parts so that a large group can perform it. The piece should be appropriate for the particular group; that is, it should be at the appropriate linguistic level, maturity level, and interest level for the particular students in the class. Perhaps the best way to ensure this is to give the students a large say in selection of the piece.

Next comes *Study of the Piece*. Students should know the meaning of the words in the piece. This is one case where students should at the end of this study understand the meaning of all of the words. Students should also know the theme of the piece—the message the author wants to communicate.

The next thing to teach is *Voice Variety*. Students should learn that they can create variety by changing the volume (whisper to loud), speed (slow to fast), tone (low to high), and style (smooth to staccato) of their voices.

Posture is an important thing to teach. In addition to the basic posture of standing

up straight with feet shoulder-width apart, weight balanced equally on each foot, back straight and head looking forward, arms to the side, posture can be used to tell a story. The posture can indicate age (old, young, etc.), social position (high class, low class, etc.), and basic character (noble, evil, good, etc.). Posture can also indicate the emotion of the character (happy, sad, excited, contemplative, etc.).

Gestures are very important, but they need to be bigger than normal, and should be used like a spice--use gestures only when necessary and when they accentuate the meaning that is being conveyed.

Movement, moving from one place to another on the stage, like gestures, should be used like a spice—used only when necessary and when it accentuates the meaning being conveyed. In addition, the movement should be sharp, with a clear beginning and end, and smooth so that it looks natural.

One essential element of a good OI performance is Pace. Often students like to add a pause of a second or more between speakers. These pauses, in addition to adding to the length of the piece, can also cause the piece to drag.

Using the stage is an important element of a performance. It does not matter if there is a real stage or just the front of a classroom, good stage use is important. First, the performance should use the whole stage. Here are some basic hints on how to do this. There are three dimensions to look at left/right, front/back, and up/down. Students tend to bunch together in the middle of the stage. Instead, they should be encouraged to use the whole stage, from left to right. In addition, students tend to move toward the front of the stage, closer to the audience. They should be encouraged to use the back of the stage, away from the audience, the front of the stage, and the parts of the stage in between. Finally, some often students all students stand up to do their performance. They should be encouraged to stand on stage, lie down on the stage, kneel on the stage, sit on the stage, sit on chairs, or stand on chairs. Finally, students should be encouraged to use a variety of positions all throughout the stage to create an interesting stage picture.

Students often all look out at the audience. One way to create focus is to have all performers face away from the audience and only the performers speaking turn toward the audience.

Often students think all students should speak the whole piece together in unison, or it should be performed as a series of solos. Students should be reminded that a variety of groupings is more interesting: solos, duets, small groups, half of the class, and the whole class. They should consider the staging of large popular groups like AKB48.

Of all the principles of OI, perhaps ensemble is the most difficult to teach, but the easiest for students to understand after they have successfully achieved good ensemble work. Perhaps the best way to describe ensemble is to liken it to what good choirs, marching bands, or dance troupes do. They need to start and stop together, move together sharply and smoothly. Again, encourage the students to study the ensemble work of AKB48.

5. Stories Students Tell

Now that the principles of OI have been explained, it is time for students to tell stories through OI. What kinds of stories do students choose to tell? The author's class has told stories like "The Nightmare Before Christmas" and "Little Red Riding Hood" (Carolyn Graham version). They have performed poetry and song lyrics like "This Girl is On Fire," "I'd Like to Teach the World to Sing," "We Are the World," and "Do They Know It's Christmas Time at All?" They have also performed original pieces. It does not take a theatre person to teach OI. What is necessary is experience in watching stage performances, TV, movies, and music videos. The rest will come with more experience.

6. Conclusion

For the teacher, the value of the EPF is a more cohesive class, renewed enthusiasm and motivation for learning English, and the joy that comes from getting a chance to teach English in a non-standard way. For the students, the value of the EPF comes in the satisfaction of a job well done, closer ties with classmates, greater confidence in performing in front of a large group of students. For the school, the value of the department is the reputation gained through teaching English in a non-standard, exciting way.

Although the performance of OI has many educational benefits, the process of preparing for and reflecting afterwards has shown to provide the most benefit. The act of telling a story for others has proven irresistible for most students. Perhaps some do not see the value before their first experience, but what is important is that after the first English Performance Festival, all students get hooked on it, and vow to do better next time, and there are plenty of opportunities to do so as they have four opportunities to participate in the festival in their two years of junior college.

References

- Apple, M., Da Silva, D., & Fellner, T. (Eds.) (2013). *Language learning motivation in Japan*. Bristol, UK: Multilingual Matters.
- Asano, K., Kluge, D., & Kumai, W. (2012). An introduction to oral interpretation and its relation to Nanzan Junior College. *ACADEMIA*, 91, Nanzan University, pp. 39-63.
- Beckett, G. (2006). Project-based second and foreign language education: Past, present, and future. In G. H. Beckett & P. C. Miller (Eds.), *Project-based second and foreign language education: Past, present, and future* (pp. 3-14). Greenwich, CT: Information Age Publishing, Inc.
- Brown, H. D. (1994). *Teaching by principles: An interactive approach to language pedagogy.* Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall Regents.
- Campbell, P. (1967). The speaking and speakers of literature. Belmont, CA: Dickenson Publishing Company.
- Dewey, J. (1933). How we think: A restatement of the reflective thinking to the educative process. Heath.
- Ellis, R. (2003). *Task-based language learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Falout, J., Fukada, Y., Murphey, T., & Fukuda, T. (2013). What's working in Japan? Present communities of imagining. In M. Apple, D. Da Silva, and T. Fellner (Eds.), *Language learning motivation in Japan*, Bristol, UK, Multilingual Matters, 245-267.
- Flynn, R. M. (2004). Curriculum-based readers theatre: Setting the stage for reading and retention. *The Reading Teacher*, 58(4), 360-365.
- Ford, A, & Kluge, D. (2015 submitted). Positive and negative aspects to project-based learning: Two projects. *ACADEMIA*, Nanzan University.
- Hudson, R. (2006). Using repeated reading and readers theater to increase fluency. *Reading First National Conference*.
- Kelleher, M. E. (1997). Readers' theater and metacognition. *The New England Reading Association Journal*, 33(2), 4-12.
- Kluge, D. (2015 submitted). "So, you say you want to hold an Oral Interpretation Festival?": A step-by-step description of the procedure. *Mask & Gavel, Volume 4*, Issue 1.
- Maekawa, Y. (2009). Motivational changes through project-based ELT for engineering students. Paper presented at the *Symposium on Intergration of Moivational*

- Theories and Practices. LET Annual Conference, Ryutsu Kagaku University, Japan, August.
- Oller, J. (1983a). Some working ideas in language teaching. In J. W. Oller, Jr. & P. A. Richard-Amato (Eds.), *Methods that work* (pp. 3-19). Rowley, MA: Newbury House Publishers.
- Oller, J. (1983b). Story writing principles and ESL teaching. *TESOL Quarterly* 17 (1): 39–53.
- Tennessee High School Speech and Debate League (THSSDL). (n.d.). THSSDL manual. Retrieved from http://www.tnhssdl.org/THSSDL%20Tournament%20Manual.pdf
- Thomas, J. (2000). A review of research on project-based learning. Retrieved from http://www.bobpearlman.org/BestPractices/PBL Research.pdf
- Willcutt, J. R. (2007). Arts for academic achievement: A brief review of research on readers' theatre and tableau in literacy instruction. *Center for Applied Research and Educational Improvement, University of Minnesota*. Retrieved May 1, 2015 from http://www.cehd.umn.edu/carei/Reports/AAA/docs/AAA-Tableau-and-Readers-Theatre-Lit-Review.pdf